157913671.1

1

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

- Plaintiffs Jane Does 1–16 in this action ("Plaintiffs"); Plaintiff Jane Doe 1 in the action entitled Jane Doe v. Schwartz, et al., Case No. 2:25-cv-02263; Plaintiff Jane Doe 1 in the action entitled Jane Doe v. Jaime S. Schwartz MD PC, et al., Case No. 3 2:25-cv-03993; and Plaintiffs in the action entitled Tuulik, et al. v. Schwartz, et al.,
- 5 Case No. 2:25-cv-04446-DMG-JPR (the four actions together the "Related Actions"),
- by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows.

I. **RECITALS**

- 1. The four Related Actions are putative class actions in this District on behalf of individuals whose confidential information was compromised in the alleged data breach of Defendants' computer network.
- 2. The plaintiffs in Case Nos. 2:25-cv-03993 and 2:25-cv-02263 are represented by Strauss Borrelli PLLC and Daniel Srourian; and plaintiffs in Case No. 2:25-cv-04446 are represented by Haeggquist & Eck LLP
- On May 11, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Consolidate and to 3. Appoint Interim Class Counsel (ECF No. 34) ("Motion to Consolidate and Appoint"). That Motion was set for hearing on June 12, 2025 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable George H. Wu in Courtroom 9D, and has been continued to June 26, 2025 by stipulation and order of the Court.
- On June 2, 2025, the parties in each of the Related Actions agreed to consolidate the Related Actions and have filed a stipulation regarding the same. (See ECF No. 39).
- 5. Counsel for plaintiffs in each of the Related Cases agrees that it is in the interest of conservation of party resources and judicial economy to agree upon a briefing schedule concerning Plaintiffs' request for appointment of class counsel to avoid the need for multiple competing motions or applications.
- Defendants in the Related Actions have indicated that they do not take a position on appointment of class counsel.

II. STIPULATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

NOW, THEREFORE, the plaintiffs in the Related Actions agree and stipulate as follows, subject to Court approval:

- 7. Strauss Borrelli and Haeggquist & Eck shall each be permitted to file an Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate and Appoint on or before June 6, 2025.
- 8. The Oppositions shall also set forth each firm's arguments in support of their respective requests for appointment as interim class counsel and shall thus be deemed motions for appointment by each of the firms filing those Oppositions.
- 9. Plaintiffs shall file a combined reply brief to the Oppositions not more than 20 pages in length on or before June 12, 2025.
- 10. Strauss Borrelli and Haeggquist & Eck shall be permitted to file surreplies of not more than five (5) pages on or before June 17, 2025.
- 11. Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate and to Appoint Interim Class Counsel (ECF No. 34) shall remain on calendar, solely with respect to appointment of interim lead counsel, on June 26, 2025 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 9D as currently scheduled, although the Parties stipulate and agree that remote attendance shall be permitted, at the Court's discretion, given Strauss Borrelli's inability to travel to the Los Angeles Area on that date due to previously scheduled commitments.

IT IS SO STIPULATED

21	Dated: June 4, 2025	Respectfully submitted, ROBINSON MARKEVITCH & PARKER LLP
22		
23		By: <u>/s/ Damion Robinson</u> Damion D. D. Robinson David Markevitch
25		Jimmie Davis Parker
26		BOUCHER LLP Raymond P. Boucher Shehnaz M. Bhujwala
27		-
28		THE LEW FIRM APC Charles S. Lew (Cal. Bar No. 227495) Jajah T. Artest (Cal. Bar No. 320326)

2:25-CV-00898-GW-SSC STIPULATION RE: LEAD COUNSEL BRIEFING

157913671.1

28